



Path Forward Committee (PFC) and Rules Review Meeting Agenda

November 4, 2025, 9:30 AM

Butner Town Hall, Camp Butner Room

Note: This is an in-person meeting. A remote option is provided on the last page of this agenda for those who cannot attend in person.

Materials related to the PFC Meeting will be placed on the UNRBA website unless noted otherwise: [UNRBA Meetings Page](#).

I. Opening Comments, Agenda Review/Revisions — Co-Chairs Michelle Woolfolk and Terry Hackett

II. Status and Timeline of Falls Lake Rules Readoption Process

Item Summary (Forrest Westall): Per [NC Session Law 2018-5](#), the Falls Lake Rules Readoption process was triggered by the NC Collaboratory report submittal in December 2023 and the UNRBA submittal of the [UNRBA Concepts and Principles for the Reexamination](#) and [Consensus Principles II](#). These UNRBA documents were sent to NC Division of Water Resources (DWR) and the Environmental Management Commission (EMC) on November 22, 2023. The UNRBA submitted their [final watershed model report](#) in December 2023 and [final lake model report](#) in December 2024. DWR has responded that the reports have been accepted and approved under the reexamination provision of the Falls Lake Rules. Relative to rule readoption work, the UNRBA initiated an informal stakeholder process started in December 2024 with four rule workgroups. A summary of the drafts produced by the workgroups was presented to the PFC at their May 6, 2025; June 3, 2025; July 1, 2025; August 5, 2025; September 2, 2025; and October 7, 2025, meetings. On June 24th, the DWR hosted a Falls Rules Readoption Stakeholder Meeting. DWR provided the UNRBA with their draft rules on August 25th. The Executive Director, support team, and PFC Co-Chairs met with DWR staff on August 28th, August 29th, and September 16th to discuss DWR's drafts.

The PFC decided at their September 2nd meeting to work to incorporate some of DWR's draft language into our draft without compromising critical aspects of the UNRBA draft. The updated drafts were distributed on either September 8th (Purpose and Scope and Existing Managed Lands) and 18th (New Development and Wastewater). A revised UNRBA set of rules was then distributed to the PFC and reviewed at the October 7th PFC meeting.

Following a discussion in late September between the Executive Director and Richard Rogers, Director of DWR, it was agreed that a high-level meeting between the UNRBA and DWR/DEQ/EMC was needed to try and resolve key differences between the draft UNRBA rules and those developed by DWR. The UNRBA Chair, Executive Director, PFC Co-Chairs, and support team met with DWR leadership and the EMC Chair on September 24th, to begin these discussions and October 7th to press forward on working to reach a level of agreement on specific key components of the rules. The October 7th meeting achieved potentially acceptable compromises on several critical issues of disagreement in the Purpose and Scope, Existing Managed Lands, and New Development Rules and a commitment to continue efforts to reach a compromise on the Wastewater Rule and the load allocations. DWR leadership is still reviewing information provided by the UNRBA on October 7th about this allocation and is expected to provide a response and proposal for the point source allocation to be included in the rules soon. This response is expected to include a proposal for providing an allocation for the three major wastewater treatment plants that will provide reasonable and feasible nutrient allocations through the period of

the revised Falls Lake Rules (under state law, all rules must be reviewed and if found “necessary” be readopted every 10 years). These adjusted allocations are being evaluated within context of the significant nitrogen load reductions achieved in the watershed since 2006 (nearly 400,000 pounds of nitrogen per year). A follow-up meeting is being scheduled.

Ahead of the October 21, 2025, Board meeting, the Executive Director distributed redline and clean versions (dated October 15th) of the UNRBA’s draft rules, modified from the clean September versions to reflect the compromises discussed with DWR leadership and the EMC Chair on October 7th. These versions also reflect input from the PFC during and following their October 7th meeting. The basis of these recent revisions and other background information is summarized in the supplemental notes at the end of each document. Because of the progress made during these recent discussions, October 15th drafts were provided to the Board as an information item. As a result of recent progress with DWR and the EMC, we do not plan to take our draft rules to the EMC as an information item in November. It seems very possible that we will continue to make progress on developing one set of rules that can be taken forward by DWR with the UNRBA’s concurrence. However, work remains, and the UNRBA must keep its options open in working to achieve a balanced, realistic, technologically feasible, and effective set of new rules. The ongoing impacts of the Falls Lake Rules, even following revision, will continue to place a heavy burden on the jurisdictions, the citizens of the watershed, and those doing business in the watershed. The October 15th drafts of the UNRBA rules provide a reasonable starting point to continue progress in working with DWR and DEQ to produce a draft that can be taken to the EMC. The October 15th drafts were distributed to the PFC ahead of this meeting. A minor change was made to the Purpose and Scope Rule. No feedback was received following the October 21st Board meeting that would require further updates to the October 15th drafts.

The PFC requested a schedule of milestones for submitting a rule package to the EMC, either jointly with DWR (preferred) or as separate petition for rule making. We will share a tentative schedule in the meeting. We continue to note that the submittal of draft rules to the EMC for approval to go to notice also requires development of a fiscal note by DWR. The UNRBA has been compiling supplemental fiscal information to support this effort, as we have relayed to DWR/DEQ/EMC. All developed supporting information will need to be reviewed by the PFC and the Board. Approval by the Board is required before it is submitted to DWR. Developing the UNRBA’s economic information is important to timely readoption of the rules. Once the supporting fiscal information has gone through the UNRBA approval process, we will provide to DWR. Whether we submit a package with DWR or separately, we have established a target date of March 2026 to complete these steps and submit a package to the EMC Water Quality Committee (WQC). If the WQC approves the package, it would go to the full EMC in May 2026. Public hearing likely would not occur before July 2026. This would begin a rules review process that is projected to send a rules package to the Rules Review Commission in March 2027.

As a status item, House Bill 926 was approved by the House and the Senate and is now law. We have revised our New Development Rule accordingly and notified our members that this law is in effect.

The following topics summarize the October discussions and resulting edits to each rule section. Areas of further discussion are noted.

III. Purpose and Scope Rule and Falls-Specific Assessment Methodology

Item Summary (Alix Matos): During and after the October 7th meeting, the PFC discussed and provided input on the following:

- UNRBA's proposed 4B framework to work toward meeting the chlorophyll-a standard with incremental improvements supports other aspects of DWR's and UNRBA's draft Existing Managed Land Rule to allow an investment-based compliance approach
- How to best include the goal of integrated watershed health and consideration of watershed co-benefits in the rule. Donna Myers of American Rivers and Michelle Woolfolk of City of Durham volunteered to work through the specific discussed sections and develop language together following the meeting. A call was scheduled for October 9th. The October version shows the edits reflective of that working meeting.
- An alternative to proposing a Falls-specific assessment methodology was identified as an appropriate longer-term effort to address the chlorophyll-a standard in Falls Lake. This proposal would be based on including a provision in the Rules for a DWR commitment to work with the UNRBA and stakeholders to develop a site-specific chlorophyll-a standard for Falls within seven years of the adoption of revised rules. This provision is aimed at a standard that can be taken to notice five years before the readoption of the rules 10 years following finalizing the revised Falls Lake Rules. This future effort would need to address the issue of a small number of samples triggering noncompliance when Falls is monitored much more intensely than other NC lakes and reservoirs. This compromise was discussed during the October 7th meeting with DWR leadership and the EMC Chair and during the October 9th working meeting with Michelle and Donna. The October version shows the edits reflective of these discussions to remove the UNRBA's proposed Falls-specific assessment methodology. The October version also modifies reporting to ten-year reports. Some language from UNRBA's proposed assessment approach has been moved into the ten-year report for tracking progress. For this necessary component for developing a site-specific Falls Lake Standard to happen, the UNRBA and DWR will have to remain committed to the effort to develop a more appropriate standard. Provided this provision is included and adopted, the UNRBA will need to lead an effort to develop a revised Falls Lake chlorophyll-a standard based on the data and information collected during the reexamination, new information collected, and the engagement of a recognized set of technical experts on limnology and trophic conditions in reservoirs.
- DWR does not want monitoring requirements in the rules; but as an alternative, the Water Quality Protection Plan (WQPP) can include a binding commitment between UNRBA and DWR and address items like monitoring.
- Concern with Water Supply Waters section and that this rule needs to be nutrient focused, not bring in other parameters like metals; draft was edited to reference S.L. 2012-187 (Section 12.1).
- Need to clarify the language around stability metric: if the metric is within these ranges, this is an indication of stability; not "the metric shall..."; draft was edited accordingly

During the October 7th meeting with DWR leadership and the EMC Chair, the following were discussed:

- DWR leadership agreed that working together on a site-specific standard before the next rules readoption cycle seems appropriate; would need to identify the appropriate subject matter experts. The use of Collaboratory and its scientists for this effort should be an important consideration. The UNRBA will need to be consulted on the formation of this committee to evaluate data and make recommendations. DWR and the UNRBA will need to provide support and supplemental information for this effort.
- In reference to the formation of an advisory committee on revising the standard for Falls Lake, Forrest mentioned Dr. Marty Lebo and Dr. Nathan Hall who served on NC Scientific Advisory Council for High Rock Lake site-specific standard development. Dr. Hall also has evaluated zooplankton and chlorophyll-a relationships, nitrogen cycling, etc., in Falls Lake. Other Collaboratory researchers have studied algal toxins,

nutrient cycling, etc. that may also be considered. The October draft includes a new item to address this collaborative process.

- Discussed the need for monitoring at least the largest five tributaries to Falls Lake to evaluate nutrient loading and progress. Discussed funding and staffing shortfalls that constrain options. DWR currently monitors Falls Lake at 12 stations. UNRBA has proposed reducing that to six stations and adding five tributary stations. A comment has been added to the draft rule to address monitoring in the WQPP as noted above.

The following changes have been made since the draft was distributed on October 15th:

- Edited Item (10) RULE REVISIONS, to consider the information developed from the ten-year reporting (Item 8) and the development of a Falls site-specific standard (Item 9).

IV. Existing Managed Lands Rule

Item Summary (Alix Matos): Item Summary (Alix Matos): During and after the October 7th meeting, the PFC discussed and provided input on the following:

- Impacts of DWR's proposed 15% per year limit on claiming early implementation credit This topic was not discussed in any detail with DWR leadership and the EMC Chair and may require a separate discussion. We plan to address this considering the following:
 - DWR's draft August wording could cause a jurisdiction to have to do more than the rules would otherwise require; DWR staff indicated that is not their intent
 - PFC discussed that limits on early implementation credit will discourage early implementation moving forward; the result will be that parties will not want to implement projects until after a set of rules are readopted to ensure they get full and immediate credit; this is not a good approach for water quality protection that relies on incremental progress.
- Comment that local governments should only be required to reduce nutrient loading from existing development that they own due to statutory limitations on their authority. Discussed that UNRBA rules indicate partnerships with willing landowners to try to address this issue. Comment added to note this discussion.
- Comment that the exclusion of agriculture as a regulated entity had been removed from the draft. **Edited** the October draft to include "Existing development excludes Agriculture as defined in G.S. 106-581.1."

During the October 7th meeting with DWR leadership and the EMC Chair, the following areas of the rule were also discussed:

- DWR leadership proposed that land conservation projects be credited for 100 percent investment credit if the project is permanently protected (e.g., conservation easement) and has a documented plan for the tract(s) including planned projects and activities to improve water quality. The improvements do not have to apply to the entire project area, only the portions of the project area where such actions are needed or possible. All projects will need to address this documentation requirement to receive full credit. The October version has been edited: "to receive credit pursuant to this Item, the regulated entities proposing a land conservation project shall document the planned projects or activities to be implemented within the project boundary to improve water quality"
- Group discussed the administrative burden associated with 2-yr planning projections and that DWR staff had been receptive to this input during the September and October PFC meetings. DWR leadership requested copies of the IAIA Program Document, reporting template, and an example summary annual report. DWR leadership and the EMC Chair indicated that compliance with the reporting dates for these annual reports,

given the inputs required by the template, would be sufficient to track implementation. The PFC Co-Chairs reiterated that compliance with annual investment levels is not possible without planning, but that site constraints, grant funding, and extreme weather events require them to be nimble when putting projects in the ground. This is consistent with recent discussions with DWR staff. Comment added to rule to reflect discussion.

- The EMC Chair stated he did not want the EMC to have to approve updates to the SNAP tool (this was seen as potentially very time consuming, and too technically oriented to fit well with the role of the Commission). We shared that our workgroup members want to have some kind of public access allowing review of the tool and its functional application before a new tool is required for use. We discussed laying out requirements for DWR in the Rule prior to requiring use of new SNAP tool. We generally discussed some minimum period of beta-testing, a certain number of DWR training workshops in the Falls Basin, etc. The Rules would likely specify approval by the Division Director, again, with some steps for evaluating tool operation, performance and review by the public prior to DWR presenting to the Director for approval. The redline edits in this draft reflect this discussion.

V. **New Development Rule**

Item Summary (Alix Matos): During the October PFC meeting, time constraints limited discussion on the New D Rule part of the presentation. To expand the opportunity for input, we sent the slide content from the presentation to the New D workgroup and stakeholders who had commented on the September 18th version of the New D Rule. We requested feedback from this group by close of business on October 10th for incorporation into the draft rule being refined for distribution to the UNRBA Board as an information item.

- One comment requested input from DWR on implementation of the runoff volume match requirement. This comment has been added to the October version of the rule and this topic will be added to the upcoming UNRBA workshop on best practices for implementing the new development rules (anticipating for spring 2026).
- Another comment noted that the exclusion of agriculture from the new development rule had been removed in the September draft. To address this comment, the Development Excluded section was **edited** to explicitly exclude “agriculture as defined in G.S. 106-581.1.”

During the October 7th meeting with DWR leadership and the EMC Chair, the following were discussed:

- DWR leadership agreed to keep the loading target at 2.2 pounds of nitrogen per acre per year with a primary SCM if BUA was greater than 12% (as discussed in our workgroup meetings) rather than the new proposal in DWR’s draft for variable onsite and overall targets using a revised SNAP tool
- They also agreed not to require us to use DWR’s new SNAP tool until it was fully developed, beta tested, trainings offered, released for wide public review, and other important vetting steps before it would be required. The discussion above concerning EMC approval also speaks to this issue.
- The EMC Chair stated he did not want the EMC to approve updates to the SNAP tool (see bullet above on this topic in the Existing Managed Lands section).

VI. **Wastewater Rule**

Item Summary (Alix Matos): During and after the October 7th meeting, the PFC discussed and provided input on the following:

- Challenges with current Stage I load allocations which restrict the WWTPs to much less than their permitted hydraulic capacity;
- 80/90 Rule (percentages of permitted hydraulic capacity) and implications for future planning;
- Summarized the September 24th meeting with DWR leadership and the EMC Chair and mentioned the upcoming meeting the afternoon of October 7th
- Noted that the outcome of the afternoon meeting would likely determine the path for rules readoption (either cooperatively with DWR or as a separate petition for rule making).

During the October 7th meeting with DWR leadership and the EMC Chair, the following were discussed:

- At the September 24th meeting, DWR leadership had requested information on the amount of nitrogen reduction achieved since the baseline period of the Falls Rules to determine if some could be re-allocated to the WWTPs so they could use more of their permitted hydraulic capacity. The PFC Co-Chairs stated that the WWTPs were built decades prior to the 2011 Falls Rules and were still being financed. As a result of the 2011 rules, the three major WWTPs were upgraded to five-stage biological nutrient removal (the limits of current technology), and those upgrades are also still being financed.
- UNRBA provided a document comparing baseline and 2015-2018 nitrogen loading to Falls Lake based on DWR's past lake modeling (2005 to 2007), UNRBA's updated watershed and lake modeling (2015 to 2018), DWR's 2021 Falls Lake status report, UNRBA's 2019 Monitoring Report, UNRBA's watershed modeling report, and UNRBA's lake modeling report. Nutrient loading from tributaries (including wastewater treatment plants), atmospheric deposition directly to Falls Lake, and nutrient releases from Falls Lake sediments were compared for the two periods. Because the 2005 to 2007 period had dry to average rainfall, and the 2015 to 2018 period had average to wet rainfall, the precipitation inputs to the UNRBA watershed were adjusted down by 20%. This scenario had already been run and documented in the UNRBA watershed model report for comparison to the baseline period. This approach was fully covered in discussions with the PFC.
- Comparing the load reduction from 2015 to 2018, assuming a hydrologic condition similar to 2005 to 2007, yields a reduction in total nitrogen load delivered to Falls Lake of almost 400,000 pounds of nitrogen per year (396,211 lb-N/yr). We will review these estimates during the PFC meeting.
- Additional reductions will occur over time under the readopted Falls Rules due to investments in watershed health projects, continued implementation of the new development rule which was designed to incrementally reduce nitrogen load, continued reductions in atmospheric deposition of nitrogen, and continued reductions in nitrogen releases from the sediments within Falls Lake.
- Given the 400,000 pound per year reduction achieved since baseline and the continued load reductions that will occur under the readopted Rules, DWR leadership indicated an increase in the WWTPs' allocations was warranted and would likely be approved by EPA. They indicated they would further review the document UNRBA generated to determine the reduction that has occurred and develop a proposal for future discussion regarding an increased allocation. As noted, a follow-up meeting is being scheduled.

Edits to the October draft wastewater rule are based on the October 9th meeting with Michelle Woolfolk and Donna Myers. Additional edits to this file may occur once DWR provides a proposal for adjusted nitrogen allocation. The

next meeting between UNRBA, DWR leadership, and the EMC Chair is being scheduled. Thus, the distributed file reflects the currently available information, and we anticipate it will be updated as DWR and UNRBA continue to work together on a joint set of Falls Rules.

VII. Extension of IAIA Program and Annual Reports

Item Summary (Forrest Westall): The IAIA was developed as a five-year program with an option to extend the program until the Falls Rules are readopted. The five-year period ends June 2026, and the schedule for Falls Rules readoption prospectively is March 2027. However, this may be revised depending on the UNRBA's strategy for moving the process forward. Thus, the IAIA program will need to be extended to maintain compliance with the existing rule.

During the November 19, 2025, UNRBA Board meeting, we will include a Compliance Group Committee (CGC) meeting to consider an addendum to the IAIA Program Document to extend the duration of the Program (by five years, or until the Falls Rules are readopted and an updated watershed protection plan is developed and approved by the Commission). We will request authorization from the CGC to approve the Addendum to Attachment B and approve a letter to DWR and EMC requesting an extension of the program, pending approval of amendments to the Bylaws by the UNRBA Board.

As a reminder, year four of the program ended June 30, 2025. Individual annual reports were due to John Huisman, Forrest Westall, and Alix Matos by September 30, 2025. The UNRBA Annual Summary Report is being developed, and the PFC will review a preliminary draft during the meeting. Following revisions as needed, the Summary Report will be considered for approval by the CGC during the November 19, 2025, Board meeting. This joint report is due to John Huisman November 30, 2025.

VIII. Discuss Budget for FY2027

Item Summary (Forrest Westall): The Board has requested a budget estimate for FY2027 at their November meeting. Last year, we provided a five-year projection. We will discuss revisions to the projection given anticipated fund balances going into FY2027.

IX. Planning PFC Workshop on Best Practices for Implementing Falls Rules

Item Summary (Forrest Westall): Granville County and Wake County have requested a comparison and best practices across local governments for their implementation of stormwater rules and regulatory requirements within the Falls Lake Watershed. Earlier this year, the PFC discussed a workshop approach for UNRBA members to discuss and gather information. We will continue planning for this workshop.

X. Communications

Item Summary (Forrest Westall): In addition to the efforts described earlier, ongoing or upcoming activities are noted below:

- Continue meeting with DWR to work toward a joint set of rules to submit to the EMC
- Status updates to the EMC (November 12, 2025)
- Planning a meeting with the new Secretary of DEQ
- Planning a meeting with staff from the NC Office of State Budget Management
- Planning a meeting with EPA

The "open" nature of all UNRBA meetings remains a key component of a transparent communications approach. We encourage member representatives and interested individuals to speak up about ideas and opportunities to

communicate our work and the importance of our recommendations on a revised strategy and a site-specific standard. We also continue to look to our engaged membership representatives to use materials developed to help with communication within their jurisdictions. We remain available to assist with any presentations/meetings that are set up to discuss Falls Lake and the status of our work and the rules readoption process.

XI. Other Items

XII. Closing Comments— Co-Chairs Michelle Woolfolk and Terry Hackett

Upcoming meetings

Next Board Meeting Scheduled for November 19, 2025; 9:30 AM to 12:00 PM

Next PFC Meeting Scheduled for December 2, 2025; 9:30 AM to 12:00 PM

This schedule may be revised, and notices will be provided about any change.

Remote Access Instructions for PFC Meetings

Equipment Type	Access Information	Notes
Computers with microphones and speakers	Join Microsoft Teams Meeting Please mute your microphone unless you want to provide input.	Press control and click on this link to bring up Microsoft Teams through the internet. You can view the screen share and communicate through your computer's speakers and microphone.
Computers without audio capabilities, or audio that is not working	Join Microsoft Teams Meeting (888) 404-2493 Passcode: 371 817 961# Please mute your phone unless you want to provide input.	Follow instructions above. Turn down your computer speakers, mute your computer microphone, dial the number through your phone and enter the passcode.
Phone only	(888) 404-2493 Passcode: 371 817 961#	Dial the toll-free number and enter the passcode. Please mute your phone unless you want to provide input.

Remote Access Guidelines

- If you dial in through your phone, mute your microphone, and turn down your speakers to avoid feedback.
- Unless you are speaking, please mute your computer/device microphone or phone microphone to minimize background noise.